

---

## Week of April 10, 2017

Welcome to our Supreme and Appellate Court summaries webpage. On this page, I provide abbreviated summaries of decisions from the Connecticut Appellate Courts which highlight important issues and developments in Connecticut law, and provide practical practice pointers to litigants. I have been summarizing these court decisions internally for our firm for more than 10 years, and providing relevant highlights to my municipal and insurance practice clients for almost as long. It was suggested that a wider audience might appreciate brief summaries of recent rulings that condense often long and confusing decisions down to their basic elements. These summaries are limited to the civil litigation decisions. I may from time to time add commentary, and may even criticize a decision's reasoning. Such commentary is solely my own personal opinion. Pullman & Comley's [Appellate Practice Group](#) of which I am a member includes experienced appellate advocates in almost every area of the law. Should you have a need to consult about a potential appeal, please email me at [emccreery@pullcom.com](mailto:emccreery@pullcom.com). I hope the reader finds these summaries helpful. – [Edward P. McCreery](#)

Posted April 25, 2017

### Supreme Court Advance Release Opinions:

- SC19375 - [State v. Chyung](#)
- SC19375 Concurrence - [State v. Chyung](#)
- SC19492 - [State v. Bush](#)
- SC19492 Dissent - [State v. Bush](#)

### Appellate Court Advance Release Opinions:

- AC37498 - [Ramos v. Commissioner of Correction](#)
- AC37867 - [State v. Morales](#)
- AC38586 - [Reese v. Commissioner of Correction](#)
- AC37285 - [Turner v. State](#)
- AC38137 - [Rubenstein v. Rubenstein](#)

---

[pullcom.com](http://pullcom.com)  [@pullmancomley](https://twitter.com/pullmancomley)

**BRIDGEPORT**  
203.330.2000

**HARTFORD**  
860.424.4300

**SPRINGFIELD**  
413.314.6160

**STAMFORD**  
203.324.5000

**WATERBURY**  
203.573.9700

**WESTPORT**  
203.254.5000

**WHITE PLAINS**  
914.705.5355

## Week of April 10, 2017

---

Husband filed for divorce in 1997 and wife took off with their child and disappeared for five years. The now ex-husband spent over \$500,000 searching for his child, much of that borrowed from his father. Back in 2002 the ex-wife was ordered to pay \$50/week alimony to the husband for his troubles based upon her then financial status. Fast forward to the present and the ex-husband was out of work and disabled. He had lost his house that had been in his father's name (which he had hoped to inherit) but it had to be sold to pay off the search bills, yet he still owed \$200,000 and she inherited \$1 million from her third husband. This decision held the Trial Court was justified increasing the alimony to \$200/week based upon changed circumstances all around.

- [AC38146 - Moore v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles](#)
- 

*The facts and holdings of any case may be redacted, paraphrased or condensed for ease of reading. No summary can be an exact rendering of any decision, however, so interested readers are referred to the full decisions. The docket number of each case is a hyperlink to the Connecticut Judicial Department online slip opinion. © 2017 Pullman & Comley, LLC. All Rights Reserved.*

---

The factual summary, or even the legal conclusions, of any case may be summarized, redacted, paraphrased or altered at the author's discretion for ease of reading. Accuracy of the summary cannot be guaranteed and the viewer is referred to the actual case for an exact reading. The Docket number should be a link to the full decision.