## PULLMAN & COMLEY, LLC ATTORNEYS AT LAW

## LABOR & EMPLOYMENT ALERT

This Alert is published by our Labor & Employment Section. Please feel free to contact any of our attorneys for more information.

Christine Collyer	860.424.4329
Andrew C. Glassman	860.541.3316
Joshua A. Hawks-Ladds	203.330.2138
Sabrina N. Kiluk	203-330-2279
Michael N. LaVelle	203.330.2112
Robert B. Mitchell	203.330.2147
Adam S. Mocciolo	203.330.2128
Jonathan B. Orleans	203.330.2129
Richard C. Robinson	860.541.3333
Daniel A. Schwartz	860.424.4359
Margaret M. Sheahan	203.330.2138

ccollyer@pullcom.com
aglassman@pullcom.com
jhawks-ladds@pullcom.com
skiluk@pullcom.com
mlavelle@pullcom.com
rbmitchell@pullcom.com
amocciolo@pullcom.com
jborleans@pullcom.com
rrobinson@pullcom.com
dschwartz@pullcom.com
msheahan@pullcom.com

This alert is intended for educational and informational purposes only. Readers are advised to seek appropriate professional consultation before acting on any matters in this update. This report may be considered advertising. ©2008 Pullman & Comley, LLC. All Rights Reserved. To be removed from our mailing list, please email unsubscribe@pullcom.com, with "Unsubscribe" in the subject line. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.

## SEPTEMBER 2008

Stroke of the President's Pen Changes How Employers Must Comply with U.S. Disability Discrimination Law

The **ADA Amendments Act of 2008** goes into effect **January 1, 2009.** The Act was signed into law on September 25, 2008.

**Employers with 15 or more employees** (already subject to ADA) are covered by the Amendments.

The definition of "Disability" is broadened. Until now, courts dismissed many ADA cases on the grounds that the plaintiffs were not "disabled." Under the Amendments, courts are instructed to provide coverage to individuals "to the maximum extent permitted." A disability remains "an impairment that substantially limits a major life activity," but new provisions significantly broaden what that means.

A long list of "major life activity" examples is now IN the statute. Until the Amendments, the courts decided what was a major life activity. Now, the amended statute has a laundry list of identified major life activities from thinking and concentrating to eating and working; and the operation of any major bodily function, "including but not limited to, functions of the immune system, normal cell growth, digestive, bowel, bladder, neurological, brain, respiratory, circulatory, endocrine, and reproductive functions."

"Substantially limits" has been watered down. Courts used to take a hard look at how much an impairment actually affected a major life activity. The Amendments make clear the standard is to be more lenient and expressly provide that conditions in remission or episodic qualify as disabilities if they would substantially limit a major life activity when active.

Mitigating measures no longer factor in whether a person has a disability. Previously, the effect of mitigating measures, such as prosthetics, medications or hearing aids, was included in the determination of whether a person's impairment substantially limited a major life activity. The Amendments now require that such measures be ignored in making that judgment. The Amendments contain an express exception for ordinary eyeglasses and contact lenses. Thankfully, those items can be considered when determining if someone is disabled.

Protection for being "regarded as" a person with a disability is much broader. Under the Amendments, an individual now must show only that the employer perceives him or her as having a mental or physical impairment – but not also, as pre-Amendment law had required, that the employer perceives the impairment to substantially limit a major life activity. While the Amendments specify that reliance on an impairment that is minor and lasts for six months will not qualify, the employer's exposure to liability is still unquestionably much greater than it was.

## Takeaway For Employers?

ADA cases are likely to move **from "threshold" issues** (whether the person has a disability) **to "liability" issues** (whether the person was actually discriminated against). Legitimate, non-discriminatory reasons for employment actions will be as important here as in defense of many other types of discrimination claims:

The **interactive process** of working with an employee to try to devise an effective **reasonable accommodation** will be even more **important to undertake and to document.** 

Employers may need to provide more accommodations to more of their workforce.

PULLMAN & COMLEY, LLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

850 MAIN STREET
P.O. BOX 7006
BRIDGEPORT, CT 06601-7006